



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identifying data does not
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

File: EAC 01 065 52209

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date: JUN 19 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a public school district. It seeks to continue to employ the beneficiary as a long term substitute teacher to teach French language classes for a period of one year. The director determined that the petitioner had not submitted an evaluation from an accredited institution in the United States to establish that the beneficiary has the equivalent to a United States bachelor's degree in French or the field of education. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary qualifies to perform services in a specialty occupation as a French language teacher.

On appeal, counsel submits a letter from the superintendent of the Mansfield Public Schools explaining that the beneficiary meets the requirements of the Connecticut State Department of Education for the offered position. Counsel also submits a copy of the State of Connecticut regulations concerning State educator certificates, permits and authorizations.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) defines the term "specialty occupation" as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to section 214(i)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i)(2), to qualify as an alien coming to perform services in a specialty occupation the beneficiary must hold full state licensure to

practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation. In addition, the beneficiary must have completed the degree required for the occupation, or have experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, the alien must meet one of the following criteria:

1. Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
2. Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
3. Hold an unrestricted State license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
4. Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

The record shows that the beneficiary was awarded a Bachelor of Science in the School of Business Administration degree in 1994 from The University of Connecticut. The record is silent as to whether the beneficiary completed any classes in the fields of education or French language while attaining that degree. The beneficiary is not qualified to work in a specialty occupation as a French teacher on the basis of education alone.

For the purpose of determining equivalency to a baccalaureate degree in a field related to the job offered in this case, three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college-level training that the alien lacks. Here, the beneficiary needs at least 12 years of experience in the specialty occupation teaching to qualify.

The record shows that the beneficiary began work for the petitioning organization in June 2000 while in the United States in H-1B nonimmigrant status. The record also contains test results

from the American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages dated January 24, 2001 showing that he has successfully completed an "Oral Proficiency Interview" and has been rated as "ADVANCED HIGH-FRENCH." The record also indicates that the beneficiary is a native speaker of French. The record also contains a certificate from the "Chambre De Commerce Et D'Industrie De Paris." However, that certificate can not be considered because it is in a foreign language and is not accompanied by a full English translation which the translator has certified as complete and correct.

Review of the record shows that at the time the petition was filed, the beneficiary had attained far less than 12 years of qualifying experience needed to qualify for a specialty occupation in the field of education. Therefore, the visa petition may not be approved. See: 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5).

Beyond the decision of the director, the petitioner's labor condition application was certified on June 13, 2001, a date subsequent to December 15, 2000, the filing date of the visa petition. Regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) provide that before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application. The matter is dismissed for this additional reason.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.